Gig Harbor Resident Human Powered Watercraft Storage Needs Survey

Any additional thoughts? Please provide comments here.			
Answer Options		Response Count	Duplicate?
		153	
	answered question	153	
	skipped question	69	
#	Response Date	Response Text	
1	Sep 28, 2016 12:43 AM	We desperately need an elementary school. Why is the city not addressing our children first?	
2	Sep 27, 2016 10:41 PM	Should accommodate certain size. Our kayaks are over 15 feet long.	
3	Sep 27, 2016 10:36 PM	I own property and pay city taxes. Does the survey exclude me? If yes, why? Missing question: Am I in favor of sharing boat storage space in a public park with a members-only organization. How much space: 25%? 50%? 75%? 100%? And why would ANYONE "not be interested" for question #3? It is free-floating and suggests "Free"	
4	Sep 27, 2016 7:55 PM	Unsightly!	
5	Sep 27, 2016 5:37 PM	I would like to see the groups interested in using this location (kayak club/sailing club) put money toward this structure. Other groups who use waterfront property, including Eddon Boat and Skansie Netshed, have fundraising events to raise money to go along with city grants. It seems only fair that those using the location, citizens, groups, etc. should be paying for the space.	

6	Sep 27, 2016 4:52 PM	The ambiance and view of the harbor must be maintained. New development of any kind line the harbor with structures. Development on the water of any kind must be limited.	
7	Sep 26, 2016 8:49 PM	Not everyone residing in Gig Harbor has the space to keep a human-powered watercraft at their residence. The additional issue of then needing a trailer to move the watercraft to the water in order to use it provides an additional challenge. I would use our watercraft more frequently if I could use such a facility and was pleased to see this survey. At the meeting I attended where this project was discussed I understood the desire to construct a facility to support youth sports programs but since this is a facility that is being funded mostly by GH taxpayers I hope to see a greater percentage of this facility made available for use by local full-year residents.	
8	Sep 26, 2016 8:29 PM	We'd really like the ability to have a local, on water place to house and launch from. Boats are great but the difficulty of getting to and from, and parking at, any launch point is onerous. If the city had a facility we'd be year around users of the facility. I know that rates will be determined by the size of the facility, but if city money is being used, I feel that residents should get priority. If the space rented were big enough we'd store the skiff and the kayaks in together. The question asked should list multiples, say kayaks.	
9	Sep 26, 2016 4:48 PM	I hope Council decides to put storage in. The added attraction is great for businesses in the area.	
10	Sep 25, 2016 3:43 PM	If you allow the paddle club to store boats I want the same rate per watercraft	
11	Sep 24, 2016 6:17 PM	I assume I would be responsible for theft or vandalism. Would city include doors or any means of protection? Would there be a loading and off loading area or would only street parking be available?	
12	Sep 23, 2016 10:44 PM	These watercraft are designed and built of lightweight materials so they can easily be transported to the water. What use would a fancy shed be? They'll just walk by it on the way to the water.	
13	Sep 23, 2016 7:06 PM	Great idea to foster even more use and enjoyment of our beautiful harbor!	

14	Sep 23, 2016 4:37 PM	Discount rate for youth and seniors who can't handle hauling their watercraft to water.	
15	Sep 23, 2016 4:36 PM	Please, please leave Ancich park as is. It's one of, if not the last serene, piece of green property on the waterfront. Not everything needs to be developed. Please leave this property alone. For those of people who have lived here their entire lives or at least a few decades can recall a how serene this town was and is. It would be nice for future generations to see a patch of grass undeveloped! ENOUGH ALREADY! Please leave the corner by the Tides alone too!We don't need condos or anything else there either! Let the birds keep their Home! Don't ruin this town for the almighty dollar!!!!!	
16	Sep 23, 2016 1:25 AM	This sounds like a terrible plan. It is one more example of areas that should be available to all residents, being taken away and used for a special interest group. I like to bicycle, but I do not insist on a place to park it downtown. I put it on my car and take it home. Please let others do the same. I drive into town for a walk, but do not expect you to provide me with overnight or weekly parking. Such clutter.There are so many kayak stores that it is hard to walk through town. Shame on you.	
17	Sep 22, 2016 11:25 PM	We pay for moorage of our 30 ft sailboat at Westshore Marina, so we keep our kayak there. If it is too inexpensive you will see an accumulation of seldom used and derelict watercraft. I was so glad to see a moorage fee at the public Jerisich dock. Up to that time you had local boaters misusing the dock to avoid paying moorage on their live aboard. Out of town guests now have the opportunity to get on the dock. Thank you!	
18	Sep 22, 2016 11:24 PM	We pay for moorage of our 30 ft sailboat at Westshore Marina, so we keep our kayak there. If it is too inexpensive you will see an accumulation of seldom used and derelict watercraft. I was so glad to see a moorage fee at the public Jerisich dock. Up to that time you had local boaters misusing the dock to avoid paying moorage on their live aboard. Out of town guests now have the opportunity to get on the dock. Thank you!	Duplicate

19	Sep 22, 2016 11:22 PM	We pay for moorage of our 30 ft sailboat at Westshore Marina, so we keep our kayak there. If it is too inexpensive you will see an accumulation of seldom used and derelict watercraft. I was so glad to see a moorage fee at the public Jerisich dock. Up to that time you had local boaters misusing the dock to avoid paying moorage on their live aboard. Out of town guests now have the opportunity to get on the dock. Thank you!	Duplicate
20	Sep 22, 2016 3:47 PM	If we store for the young peoples program do we have to extend the same to the general public?	
21	Sep 22, 2016 8:00 AM	A great initiative which would be very popular	
22	Sep 22, 2016 2:13 AM	Please provide facilities for the GH kayak team!	
23	Sep 21, 2016 9:42 PM	Who would be paid to manage/maintain the storage facility? Non profit? Governing rules?How many and what types/size would be allowed? What percentage of the park will be dedicated to support this project? Will the rental to individuals be forever or subject to rotation periods so other people may someday in the near future get a chance?	
24	Sep 21, 2016 5:08 PM	Proposed building is much to large for the site. Spending millions on private club of 45 kids a crime, that property should be for the community at large. Once this harbor is built up, the charm that draws tourists and residents will be lost forever. Parking is non existent.Please proceed with caution and equal rights for all. This is still a neighborhood with residents of founding families.	
25	Sep 21, 2016 2:43 AM	The current proposal supplements a very limited population and is in a prominent location that should be much more usable by more of the residents.	
26	Sep 21, 2016 2:43 AM	Great idea!!!! Thank you.	
27	Sep 20, 2016 8:43 PM	Interesting idea. Not sure how much demand there is. As a taxpayer, not sure I like the idea of providing valuable waterfront real estate to rent for storage of this type watercraft. Appreciate that you are gathering public input!	
28	Sep 20, 2016 6:49 PM	I think this is an excellent idea and since we live in a Condo with limited storage this would be an excellent way for us to enjoy the Harbor all year round. Thanks, Rich	

29	Sep 20, 2016 6:40 PM	just a money pit that the TAXPAYERS will have to subsidze forever.no if there is such a demand let the private sector build one///.	
30	Sep 20, 2016 5:20 PM	I think It would be very beneficial if I didn't live at a marina. I would definitely be willing to pay $50 to $100 a month for the convenience.	
31	Sep 20, 2016 2:51 PM	public services like these to access public waterways are needed.	
32	Sep 20, 2016 2:03 AM	Let them pay an equivalent 0f sail or power boat moorage	
33	Sep 19, 2016 11:02 PM	The harbor is very crowded with boat traffic as is. We don't need to encourage further congestion with people who don't know the rules of the road.	
34	Sep 19, 2016 8:46 PM	Would security be provided at the location provided by the city.	
35	Sep 19, 2016 7:13 PM	I might want to rent for only a few months each year.	
36	Sep 19, 2016 6:50 PM	Providing storage, especially for apartment dwellers would be very good. Boat storage for homeowners (like myself) should have a lower priority, or higher cost, since we should have storage capability.	
37	Sep 19, 2016 3:30 AM	Our city parks were purchased for the betterment of our community and the use and enjoyment by all of our residents....they are not intended to be monopolized by a few special interest groups. What are you thinking?	
38	Sep 18, 2016 8:17 PM	None.	
39	Sep 18, 2016 5:44 PM	Very exciting! I hope public storage of human powered watercraft becomes a reality! Thank you!	
40	Sep 18, 2016 2:29 PM	I have an inflatable SUP so storage isn't a big issue for me. I live walking distance away from the water.	
41	Sep 17, 2016 11:16 PM	This is a nice concept but there need to be a robust solution for the increased vehicle and pedestrian traffic around Ancich Park.	
42	Sep 17, 2016 10:59 PM	Love the idea of easy access for our kayaks and future SUP's we would like to purchase. We would definitely use them more often with easy access such as this possible waterfront storage.	

43	Sep 17, 2016 10:30 PM	I wouldn't own a kayak currently if I didn't first have a place to store and launch it. It seems redundant for the city to also provide me a place to store it. In my opinion city funds would be better spent installing bike lanes and sidewalks to and from downtown via Burnham. While we're on the subject, I don't need a place for you to store my bicycle either. Thanks anyway.	
44	Sep 17, 2016 10:29 PM	I wouldn't own a kayak currently if I didn't first have a place to store and launch it. It seems redundant for the city to also provide me a place to store it. In my opinion city funds would be better spent installing bike lanes and sidewalks to and from downtown via Burnham. While we're on the subject, I don't need a place for you to store my bicycle either. Thanks anyway.	Duplicate
45	Sep 17, 2016 10:27 PM	I wouldn't own a kayak currently if I didn't first have a place to store and launch it. It seems redundant for the city to also provide me a place to store it. In my opinion city funds would be better spent installing bike lanes and sidewalks to and from downtown via Burnham. While we're on the subject, I don't need a place for you to store my bicycle either. Thanks anyway.	Duplicate
46	Sep 17, 2016 10:25 PM	I wouldn't own a kayak currently if I didn't first have a place to store and launch it. It seems redundant for the city to also provide me a place to store it. In my opinion city funds would be better spent installing bike lanes and sidewalks to and from downtown via Burnham. While we're on the subject, I don't need a place for you to store my bicycle either. Thanks anyway.	Duplicate
47	Sep 17, 2016 10:00 PM	the main reason I have not purchased a personal watercraft is because of transportation and storage. If this new storage happens, I will be buying kayaks!	
48	Sep 17, 2016 9:29 PM	Storage should include small sailing craft (my sailing dinghy is 8 feet long and weighs 100 pounds). The city should always provide free dinghy daytime access to the Staanich Park dock. More information should be published regarding use of the moorage. (I.E., Is it free in daytime but require payment after a certain time of day?)	
49	Sep 17, 2016 8:47 PM	It would have to be done right or it would clutter up the waterfront.	
50	Sep 17, 2016 8:21 PM	Important for facility to provide several launching carts to move boats from storage to dock.	

51	Sep 17, 2016 8:00 PM	Thank you! I have been hoping for this for 4 years!!	
52	Sep 17, 2016 6:01 PM	Preserve Ancich Park for public use not boat storage.	
53	Sep 17, 2016 5:50 PM	Is this necessary for our city? Parking would be better and a good plan to develop the Judson shopping center.	
54	Sep 17, 2016 4:24 PM	Having a "HPW" storage site would be a wonderful addition to the City by helping to keep the waterfront area vital, and would help GH realize its' identity as the Maritime City.	
55	Sep 17, 2016 3:07 PM	Size of the facility? Monthly or daily, or both? Security?	
56	Sep 16, 2016 10:36 PM	We should be allowed to anchor decent, seaworthy boats in Gig Harbor for extended periods of time, but I'm sure that's not something you care about...	
57	Sep 16, 2016 9:37 PM	I am thrilled that the proposed storage is a possibility. I surely hope it happens!	
58	Sep 16, 2016 9:24 PM	It's not up to the city to provide us a place for our easily moveable toys.	
59	Sep 16, 2016 7:54 PM	As a publicly-owned facility, I believe that at minimum of 50 % of the kayak storage should be dedicated to public rental space with city residents having first priority for it. Any less would be unsatisfactory and inconsistent with the City Council Resolution for the use of Ancich Park and the desires of the city's residents.	
60	Sep 16, 2016 5:27 PM	Facilities built with tax dollars should be for public use. Never for an organization limiting membership, or deciding who gets to use facility. Never.	
61	Sep 16, 2016 4:38 PM	While I am not opposed in principle to the idea, I am very opposed to further development along the waterfront. The city needs to maintain as much open space as possible instead of developing everything it can.	
62	Sep 16, 2016 4:30 PM	I would store my craft at home should I buy one.	
63	Sep 16, 2016 4:16 PM	We need more dock space (visiting boaters) than watercraft storage. Visitors will spend money in our downtown area	

64	Sep 16, 2016 2:51 PM	1. The city has no business subverting some enterprising entrepreneur from competitively providing the same service. "Lee's SUP" did just that. What happened to Lee? 2. I moor my boat at Pleasurecraft Marina. Often, human powered craft operators are unaware of water safety considerations in our harbor and consequently pose a threat to their own safey.	
65	Sep 16, 2016 2:25 PM	Public access to the water is very limited now, except for folks in marinas or with boat trailers. We're supportive of the rowing club, but don't think it should have exclusive access to a scarce public asset. The survey does not seem to include the use of rowing boats such as racing shells.	
66	Sep 16, 2016 1:38 PM	There should be a handicapped accessible launch for human powered watercraft available!!	
67	Sep 16, 2016 3:16 AM	City would need to accommodate other watercraft as well. Motorized. City really needs to allow for a fuel dock also so it can be a real Maritime City.	
68	Sep 16, 2016 2:14 AM	What about rowing sculls? I may be more interested. Would like the city to provide appropriate low level dock areas for safer entry / exit.	
69	Sep 16, 2016 1:44 AM	Don't waste my taxpayer dollars on this thinly veiled project to provide an overpriced building for the high school kayak team!	
70	Sep 16, 2016 12:08 AM	Would the location be accessible by dock entry or land entry? If only dock entry I would not be interested at all.	
71	Sep 15, 2016 11:24 PM	No	
72	Sep 15, 2016 8:45 PM	Glad to see the city exploring this option so that someone other than just the GH Kayak club and GHYC sailing club have space provided for their boats.	
73	Sep 15, 2016 8:04 PM	This would be of great benefit to the community. I am in full support of this	
74	Sep 15, 2016 7:47 PM	Taxpayers monies should not be used for this at all. Make users pay the full cost. Boats, canoes, etc.	
75	Sep 15, 2016 7:34 PM	I live in a condo that has a dock, so of no interest.	
76	Sep 15, 2016 5:40 PM	The city should not be involved in this.	

77	Sep 15, 2016 5:32 PM	If tax money is to be used, aren't there other high value human services that those funds could be used for instead?	
78	Sep 15, 2016 5:23 PM	I would be VERY OPPOSED to building a building on the waterfront on any of the Gig Harbor City property to house these watercraft. That is not in the best interest of all the citizens of the city. Only a few.	
79	Sep 15, 2016 4:18 PM	The city does not need to provide this storage. Instead offer some incentives for the private marinas to do so. There is no reason that this cannot be provided by the private sector.	
80	Sep 15, 2016 2:24 PM	None	
81	Sep 15, 2016 1:31 PM	I think this is a waste of money and some other useful facility should be designed that more residents can use not just for personal watercraft	
82	Sep 15, 2016 9:14 AM	Free or small fee to city residents, a benefit of living within the city limits. The non city resident could pay a different fee. Would be nice to have a city benefit ! Also the city needs growth of healthier establishments, organic restaurants!	
83	Sep 15, 2016 3:00 AM	This is a very good idea! Being able to store our HPW on the waterfront (in GH) would truly encourage us to use them a LOT more often!!!	
84	Sep 15, 2016 2:48 AM	Quit wasting money on crap projects and let's get the greedy builders who are raping our city and cutting down trees to pay for infrastructure like roads, schools, sewer treatment, etc. Let the rich boat owners store their own damned boats.	
85	Sep 15, 2016 2:31 AM	I hope this would benefit the Canoe and Kayak Team. Also, this would be a plus for the ambiance of GH, as with every kayak that appears in the harbor. It is good for home sales.	
86	Sep 15, 2016 2:29 AM	I don't want the taxpayers money used to provide free storage.	
87	Sep 15, 2016 2:07 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	

88	Sep 15, 2016 2:06 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	Duplicate
89	Sep 15, 2016 2:06 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	Duplicate
90	Sep 15, 2016 2:06 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	Duplicate
91	Sep 15, 2016 2:06 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	Duplicate
92	Sep 15, 2016 2:06 AM	NO FREE storage for any club or organization of any kind in the harbor. Kids or adults - NONE! NO to the proposed laundry facility in the harbor for boaters! A storage area of any kind would only continue to blight the disappearing harbor view.	Duplicate
93	Sep 15, 2016 1:32 AM	Might be advisable to consider multiple sites along the waterfront	
94	Sep 15, 2016 12:35 AM	Human powered water craft should be stored by the human who owns the craft & the city of G.H. should NOT be involved.	
95	Sep 14, 2016 10:47 PM	How do things like theft, fire, damage work for a facility like this?	
96	Sep 14, 2016 10:08 PM	Providing a public launch area should be enough. City should not be storing private/personal items. The space should be used for activities open to everyone... Not a pay to play for those with money.	
97	Sep 14, 2016 9:16 PM	I think the storage should be provided. Anything that is for the people and causes people to gather doing a common thing is good.	
98	Sep 14, 2016 9:05 PM	The kayak group should pay their storage, most of them don't reside in G.H. Why should our City provided them storage space at a waterfront location.	

99	Sep 14, 2016 8:10 PM	As I am 75 It would be a wonderful convenience to be able to store my wee kayak at a waterfront location where I would be able to put it in the water and pull it out without having to find someone to help me get it off the car etc...	
100	Sep 14, 2016 8:07 PM	The City should NOT compete with the private sector!!!!	
101	Sep 14, 2016 7:26 PM	The city should consider renting or leasing space for the kayak racing club and the Dragon boats that are in Gig Harbor that would be a good thing but this facility should have enough room for the public owned kayaks and paddle boards.	
102	Sep 14, 2016 7:01 PM	I see no reason for the city to be in the business of providing storage for kayaks or other water toys. I realize that the impetus for this idea is lobbying by the kayak club and its supporters for a place to store their kayaks on the waterfront. I support the kayak club and the positive experience it provides, especially for our young people. But why should a small special interest group be afforded storage facilities in a public park purchased with public funds? This is especially true when the size of the storage facility and the inevitable associated use will effectively dedicate the entire park area to the special interests of very few people. I have heard the arguments that "anyone can store their watercraft there" and "rent will be charged", but these arguments are "red herrings". We all know that the kayak club will take most if not all of the space, and that the minimal amount of rental will never make a dent in the millions of dollars of public funds expended for the waterfront property and improvements. There is no logic in this. Our parks are important, and should be designed to provide respite for the greatest number of people possible.	
103	Sep 14, 2016 6:41 PM	tho i am an elder geezer i would like for those who DO use these crafts (including the FAB kayak club who achieves so much success despite the lack of city support and yes i have heard all the bureaucratic excuses) to be able to get TO the water. Private parties and commercial enterprises are limiting anyone from reaching the water now. If we dont pay to acquire maintain and sustain access we will have high rises for the 1 % (of which BTW i sort of am)	
104	Sep 14, 2016 6:37 PM	No	

105	Sep 14, 2016 4:36 PM	Even though I personally would not use this, I would love my friends or family to, that way I could use their human-powered craft!	
106	Sep 14, 2016 3:31 PM	Stay out of this and if there is a need, a private company would have already provided this. To make it work you will have to use my tax money to subsidize it. Typical government and focus on the real issues	
107	Sep 14, 2016 3:23 PM	When pricing storage, you should consider that people can already rent these water craft for a reasonable cost at local harbor facilities.	
108	Sep 14, 2016 3:22 PM	The blossoming of human powered craft in the bay has provided jobs to locals and inspiration to countless people to get out on the water. I strongly encourage this.	
109	Sep 14, 2016 2:11 PM	Nice idea for the community	
110	Sep 14, 2016 7:41 AM	As convenient as it would be to keep a kayak in a public park, I don't want to see the waterfront become a large public storage place. Anacortes has a small storage area at their port - you may want to contact them about how they balance the needs of scenery, public access, and ease of access for boaters.	
111	Sep 14, 2016 7:28 AM	Gig Harbor does not have the space, parking or adaquate traffic flow to allow for a dedicated space to be used for this. Perhaps a small space for the club to store boats while allowing access to public to launch their boats.	
112	Sep 14, 2016 5:03 AM	Great idea! We don't own a truck, or racks that would be able to transport an SUP or kayak... But we'd love to be able to enjoy the harbor with such a craft. If the City provided storage, that would be just awesome and we'd be very interested. What a great way to promote active, healthy lifestyles and to boost the quality of life for locals. Please keep this moving forward.	

113	Sep 14, 2016 5:00 AM	The storage of human watercraft in a city owned building needs to generate sufficient revenue to recover of ALL COSTS (land costs, engineering costs, staff time and costs,operating costs, maintenance reserves and cost of related accounting costs). This is not a CRITICAL function of local government, as such it need to be self supporting as if it were a private business. Example: As a taxpayer I have no interest in subsidizing someone human powered watercraft storage, likewise I don't expect the taxpayers to provide me a parking garage for my car and expect the taxpayers to provide me that garage at a cost, to me, that is not covering ALL the costs.	
114	Sep 14, 2016 4:20 AM	No	
115	Sep 14, 2016 3:40 AM	Would there be any rules against a tenant running an hourly rental business of the personal boat? Regardless, I think this is a great idea and would love to participate! Thanks for putting this together!	
116	Sep 14, 2016 3:36 AM	A shower and wash rack would be very useful along with toilet/changing rooms.	
117	Sep 14, 2016 3:31 AM	This survey is a waste of tax payers money.	
118	Sep 14, 2016 2:55 AM	I feel a waterfront storage for human powered watercraft at Ancich Park is an excellent use of this resource.	
119	Sep 14, 2016 2:41 AM	Would really encourage the city to consider this and thanks for the survey--this might be a great way to encourage more people to engage in this type of water sport--and we have the perfect setting for it.	
120	Sep 14, 2016 2:35 AM	Great idea!	
121	Sep 14, 2016 2:29 AM	Not at this time.	

122	Sep 14, 2016 1:38 AM	I believe property purchased for the good of the community, should be reserved for the entire community. There are far more people who would use a park on Harborview, than there are people who rent space for their personal watercraft. I do not believe our park space should be used to benefit the few people who can easily put their watercraft in at a number of locations on Gig Harbor Bay, including Jerisich Park.	
123	Sep 14, 2016 1:38 AM	You would also have to provide increased parking.	
124	Sep 14, 2016 1:37 AM	What about a Laser sailboat? They're tiny. Now, THAT would get me excited....	
125	Sep 14, 2016 1:36 AM	I believe this is important for our community. We especially need to support the wonderful Canoe and Kayak Club	
126	Sep 14, 2016 1:33 AM	city tax money. no pay your own way yes	
127	Sep 14, 2016 1:05 AM	We would be opposed to the storage of human powered watercraft close to the water front viewing areas. We are currently opposed to the kayaks that are now being stored on the public dock. They used to be stored behind the Skansie House which was fine. We feel that storage of boats that are being used by a small amount of individuals should be kept to the southern border paralleling the buildings next to that property line. As an example the majority of the people that use the park, like to gather, picnic, go down to the edge of the water, attend an event, walk around, and also enjoy the water view and the yachts/sailboats on the dock. We do not want to be overwhelmed by revenue producing boat storage endeavors blocking view and also managed by the City of Gig Harbor. If I trip or hurt myself on one of those human powered watercraft, will the city reimburse me for the injury? If the city would like to still meet their objective, why don't they place all of these personal human powered watercraft at, or near the Eddon Boat Yard? That seems to be the place that they most belong and it also is in a larger area that can accommodate the larger groups of individuals that are being trained, etc.	

128	Sep 14, 2016 12:52 AM	This would be a wonderful addition to our city if done thoughtfully. It should not be too expensive for residents. Please, we do not want a huge facility...this backed by the concern over the growth of the city. Keep it simple. Keep it charming. We are Gig Harbor.	
129	Sep 14, 2016 12:45 AM	We can walk ours down to the water but good idea!	
130	Sep 14, 2016 12:17 AM	Storage would open up the water way to people that can't afford the big fancy yachts.	
131	Sep 14, 2016 12:06 AM	This sounds like a waste of tax money. Let's not do it.	
132	Sep 13, 2016 11:40 PM	If it is very accessible to all people then perhaps a neat idea, but if it isn't going to serve a large diverse population, perhaps the land should be used in a different way that is accessible to all.	
133	Sep 13, 2016 11:23 PM	I think the city should stay out of the storage business.	
134	Sep 13, 2016 11:09 PM	I am neutral on this issue.	
135	Sep 13, 2016 10:53 PM	It should be left as a park for residents and visitors to enjoy. The original plan was for open space and not be monopolized by kayaks and paddle boards.	
136	Sep 13, 2016 10:32 PM	SEE #5	
137	Sep 13, 2016 10:03 PM	Even if human powered watercraft is allowed, I believe it would be nice for the signage to reveal the property is part of the working waterfront.	
138	Sep 13, 2016 10:03 PM	Even if human powered watercraft is allowed, I believe it would be nice for the signage to reveal the property is part of the working waterfront.	Duplicate
139	Sep 13, 2016 10:03 PM	Overall this sounds like an interesting idea. However, I think I would be more inclined to store kayaks, should I purchase them, in my garage and transport them to the water.	
140	Sep 13, 2016 9:45 PM	The City should not be providing storage for human powered watercraft for anybody regardless of whether they reside in or outside the City Limits; and City parks should not used to subsidize private proprietary niche groups.	
141	Sep 13, 2016 9:39 PM	Would it be possible to store the boat/boats 5-6 months of the year or month to month.	

142	Sep 13, 2016 9:33 PM	A quiet little fishing village with a commercial fleet, hundreds of yachts large and small, thousands of paddlers, an abundance of waterfront access, but no small craft facility or fuel dock. Hurry up already, make it happen.	
143	Sep 13, 2016 9:29 PM	Leave the area with grass and picnic tables, install a dock,no buildings or storage	
144	Sep 13, 2016 9:13 PM	The City should not get into the boat storage business especially for clubs that cannot afford nor want to pay for storage at a rate that will pay for the facility's construction and operation.	
145	Sep 13, 2016 9:07 PM	None	
146	Sep 13, 2016 8:46 PM	We all know that human powered watercraft have the right of way. But it seems that those of us just trying to get out of the harbor to fish have to weave our way thru all the human powered craft more and more. Just hoping to get a little more awareness and courtesy from all the kayakers, paddle boarders, etc.	
147	Sep 13, 2016 8:00 PM	Currently live on harbor waterfront. Have multiple kayaks and canoes. But, I strongly support storage concept for those who do not have easy waterfront access. Consider a bid process for public storage slots.	
148	Sep 13, 2016 7:29 PM	I currently have my own storage place, but if I lost that I could become interested.	
149	Sep 13, 2016 6:52 PM	Must be secure. Easy access and parking is important.	
150	Sep 13, 2016 6:04 PM	I think there is great need for a place to store human powered watercraft. It encourages a healthy activity for all ages and having access at an affordable price is something we should do. Most pocket parks are rarely used and we have access to many views on the waterfront.	
151	Sep 13, 2016 5:51 PM	We'd be more likely to drive down and unload, so a convenient place to unload our kayaks would be important to us. Also a low or floating pier would be important for "dropping into" a kayak. Thanks!	
152	Sep 13, 2016 5:11 PM	Keep the pier low in order to make it easier to get in and out, and try to provide a place to unload if you come by car.	
153	Sep 13, 2016 5:01 PM	What will this accomplish? Stop studying and make a decision	